UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,425	11/05/2007	Samantha Jones	360.8420USU	8016
	7590	EXAMINER		
ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10TH FLOOR			LIN, KUANG Y	
STAMFORD, CT 06901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/587,425	JONES, SAMANTHA		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Kuang Y. Lin	1793						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>4/16/09 & 4/21/09</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	•						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.	· —	l be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:					
12. \square Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13.								
	/Kuang V Lin/							
	/Kuang Y. Lin/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1793						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments to claims 1 and 15 raise new issues.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (1) applicant stated that the polymers of Moore are not cross-linked and the only mention of cross-linking in Moore is between the colloidal particles, and not within the polymer setting agent itself. However, in col. 8, lines 32+ of Moore, it states that polyfunctional organic acids and their salts can be used as setting agents for the positive sol coating. The setting effect of these polyanionic materials is due to the strong interaction between the anionic portions of the molecules and the positively charged particles. The polyanionic materials have a cross-linking structure. It further states in col. 9, line 46 through col.10, line 23 that those materials may be polymers. Thus, Moore does disclose the use of a polymer with cross-linking structure as a setting agent. (2) applicant failed to provide a petition for extension of time in the amendment dated 4/21/09. Thus, the peroid for reply expires four months from the mailing date of the final rejection.